

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PAPER NUMBER

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

09/514,928 02/28/2000 Peter J. Wilk W07-428 9269

7590 05/17/2005 EXAMINER

R Neil Sudol JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J

R Neil Sudol Coleman Sudol Sapone, P.C. 714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601

3737
DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005

ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,		m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/514,928	WILK ET AL.	٠.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaworski Francis J.	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thireriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>21 January 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21,31-36,39-42 and 46-50</u> is/s 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-21,31-36,39-42 and 46-50</u> is/s 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration. are rejected.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
· —	to Examinor. Note the attache		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		0.440(-). (1) (0.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 	,	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 47-50 have been rejoined for examination pursuant to traversal arguments filed in the provisional election paper of January 21, 2005.

Claims 13-21, 31-36, 39-42 and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilk (US5871446) and Holmberg (US6135960). The former is directed to a flexible carrier having plural transducers thereon for forming 3D images of body organs by electronic scanning with or without couplant. The latter evidences that a rigid segmented variant for three-dimensional imaging was also known and in association with switched and delayed steering and therefore it would have been obvious to use both with varying degrees of flexible or coupled conformance and segment position control to obtain the three-dimensional images.

This action is not made final however the case should be prepared for final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738

Primary Examiner

Page 2

FJJ:fjj

05132005